

Rational Homotopy

December 22, 2019

In general, we have two classical algebraic invariants of a space: Its (co)homology and its homotopy groups. Taking cohomology $X \mapsto H^*X$ is easy to calculate, but loses a lot of information, and π_*X is difficult to compute. However, it turns out that all the complexity is in the torsion part: if we work rationally, the story is different.

Definition 1. A space X is called rational if π_*X has the structure of a \mathbb{Q} -vector space.

Furthermore, for any space X , we can define its rationalization $X \rightarrow X_{\mathbb{Q}}$, a universal space with homotopy groups $\pi_*(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi_*(X_{\mathbb{Q}})$. We'll give a precise definition later. For example, a model for the rational sphere $S_{\mathbb{Q}}^n$ is

$$S_{\mathbb{Q}}^n \simeq \left(\bigvee_{k \geq 1} S_k^n \right) \cup \left(\bigsqcup_{k \geq 2} D_k^n \right)$$

where the attaching maps $\partial D_{k+1}^n \rightarrow S_k^n \vee S_{k+1}^n$ are $1_{S_k^n} - (k+1)_{S_{k+1}^n}$, which represents the element $\frac{1}{k+1}$ in $S_{\mathbb{Q}}^n$. We define the category $\text{Top}^{\mathbb{Q}}$ as the category of simply connected rational topological spaces, and the functor $(-)^{\mathbb{Q}} : \text{Top} \rightarrow \text{Top}^{\mathbb{Q}}$ as the rationalization functor. Then the idea is that the category $\text{Top}^{\mathbb{Q}}$ is simple, in the sense that the cohomological information is enough to recover the space and its homotopy groups.

The first hint is by what is called Hurevich mod \mathcal{C} .

Definition 2. A subcategory $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{Ab}$ is called a Serre class if for any short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M \rightarrow M'' \rightarrow 0$$

$M \in \mathcal{C}$ iff $M', M'' \in \mathcal{C}$, and \mathcal{C} is closed under tensor product and $\text{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}(-, -)$.

Example 3. The following are examples of Serre classes:

1. Finite abelian groups.
2. Finitely generated abelian groups.
3. Torsion abelian groups

The last example is the one important for us.

Fact 4. For any pair of simply connected spaces (X, Y) , $\pi_k(X, Y) \in \mathcal{C} \forall k < n$ iff $H_n(X, Y) \in \mathcal{C} \forall k < n$.

Definition 5. A morphism $f : A \rightarrow B$ between abelian groups is called \mathcal{C} -monomorphism (epimorphism) if $\ker f$ (coker f) belongs to \mathcal{C} . f is \mathcal{C} -isomorphism.

Using this definitions, we can state two of basic theorems of rational homotopy theory, stated originally by Serre(?):

Theorem 6. (*Hurewicz Theorem mod \mathcal{C}*) Let \mathcal{C} be a Serre' class of abelian groups, and let X be a simply connected space. Suppose $H_k(X) \in \mathcal{C}$ for all $k < n$ (or equivalently $\pi_k(X)$). Then there is an exact sequence:

$$K \rightarrow \pi_n X \rightarrow H_n X \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \rightarrow 0$$

such that $K, \mathcal{C} \in \mathcal{C}$. In particular, $\pi_n X \rightarrow H_n X$ is a \mathcal{C} -isomorphism.

Proof. Let $\{X_{\leq n}\}$ be the Postnikov tower of X (that is, a sequence of spaces $X_{\leq n} \rightarrow X_{\leq n-1} \rightarrow \dots$ such that $X \simeq \varprojlim X_{\leq n}$, $\pi_{>n} X_{\leq n} = 0$ and $\pi_{\leq n} X \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi_{\leq n} X_{\leq n}$). Then using the exact sequences of the pair (X_{n-1}, X) , together with the standard (and relative) Hurewicz homomorphisms:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc} 0 = \pi_{n+1}(X_{n-1}) & \longrightarrow & \pi_{n+1}(X_{n-1}, X) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \pi_n(X_n) & \longrightarrow & \pi_n(X_{n-1}) = 0 & \longrightarrow & \pi_n(X_{n-1}, X) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{C} \ni H_{n+1}(X_{n-1}) & \longrightarrow & H_{n+1}(X_{n-1}, X) & \longrightarrow & H_n(X_n) & \longrightarrow & H_n(X_{n-1}) \in \mathcal{C} & \longrightarrow & H_n(X_{n-1}, X) \end{array}$$

□

Theorem 7. (*Whitehead mod \mathcal{C}*) Let \mathcal{C} be a Serre class, $f : X \rightarrow Y$ a map between simply connected spaces. Then the following are equivalent:

1. $\pi_{\leq n}(f)$ is a \mathcal{C} -isomorphism and $\pi_{n+1}(f)$ is a \mathcal{C} -epimorphism.
2. $H_{\leq n}(f)$ is a \mathcal{C} -isomorphism and $H_{n+1}(f)$ is a \mathcal{C} -epimorphism.

Proof. Using the long exact sequences for the pair (Y, X) we see that condition 1 is equivalent to $\pi_k(Y, X) \in \mathcal{C}$ while 2 is equivalent to $H_k(Y, X) \in \mathcal{C}$. □

Combining these two theorems, we conclude:

Corollary 8. For a map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ between simply connected spaces, $\pi_* f$ is an equivalence iff $H_*(f, \mathbb{Q})$ is an isomorphism iff $H^*(f, \mathbb{Q})$ is an equivalence.

So we see that H^* remembers some of the information about equivalences (if a map comes from a topological map then it remembers the information about equivalences) and we may ask what is the missing information and whether or

not we can encode it using a structure or some modification to the cohomology groups.

So only the information about H^* is not enough, even if we remember the ring structure. However, if we remember the structure of the chain complex itself, a chain with a differential and the cup product, then the answer will be positive. However, the problem is that $C^*(X; \mathbb{Q})$ with the cup product is not commutative and associative on the nose.

The classical solution to this problem was to replace $C^*(X; \mathbb{Q})$ with a quasi-isomorphic chain complex that has a strict cdga structure: Sullivan defined such a model using local differential forms: for every singular simplex $\Delta^n \rightarrow X$ we can associate the group of differential forms on Δ^n with some compatibility between a form on a simplex and forms on its boundary, and together with the local differential of $\Omega_\bullet(\Delta^n)$, this will have the structure of a cdga.

Precisely, for a space X we have the presheaf $X_\bullet : \Delta^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Set}$ of the singular simplices, and the presheaf

$$\Omega_\bullet : \Delta^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{cdga}_{\mathbb{Q}} \xrightarrow{\text{forgetful}} \text{Set}$$

. Then the differential forms on X will be natural transformations $X_\bullet \rightarrow \Omega_\bullet$. One can show that the set of natural transformations has a structure of a cdga, by applying the operations pointwise (or by Kan extension). Thus we obtain a functor

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{Top}^{\mathbb{Q}})^{\text{op}} &\rightarrow \text{cdga}_{\mathbb{Q}} \\ X &\mapsto \text{Hom}_{\text{Set}}(X_\bullet, \Omega_\bullet) \end{aligned}$$

This cdga is quasi-isomorphic to the singular chain, and Sullivan proved that this functor is fully faithful, and we have a simple characterisation for its essential image.

However, there is a way to avoid the usage of differential forms, and use the singular chain itself: $C^*(X; \mathbb{Q})$ is indeed not strictly commutative, but it has the structure of an \mathbb{E}_∞ -ring. More precisely, we can use the following.

Definition 9. Let $H\mathbb{Q} \in \text{Sp}$ be the spectrum representing rational cohomology. This is an \mathbb{E}_∞ -ring, so we can define the category $\text{Mod}_{H\mathbb{Q}}$ of module spectra over \mathbb{Q} .

The important difference of rational homology from any other homology theories is the following observation:

Definition 10. For any spectrum E , we have the notion of E -acyclic spectra - Y s.t. $E \otimes Y \simeq *$, and E -local spectra which are those X s.t. for any E -acyclic Y and any $f : Y \rightarrow X$, f is nullhomotopic. Finally, a map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is E -equivalence if $f \otimes E$ is an equivalence. A fundamental concept in stable homotopy theory is the notion of Bousfield localization: For any spectrum E there is a localization functor $L_E : \text{Sp} \rightarrow \text{Sp}_E$ s.t. $L_E(X)$ is E -local and $X \rightarrow L_E(X)$ is E -equivalence. If E is a ring, for example if $E = HR$ for some ordinary ring, then any E -module M is E -local, so $\text{Mod}_E \subset \text{Sp}_E$.

The spectrum $H\mathbb{Q}$ has two special properties: One is that $H\mathbb{Q} \simeq L_{H\mathbb{Q}}\mathbb{S}$, and the other is that this spectrum is “smashing”, that is $L_{H\mathbb{Q}}(X)$ is given by $X \mapsto L_{H\mathbb{Q}}\mathbb{S} \otimes X$. Combining these two observations, we obtain that $H\mathbb{Q}$ localization is given by $X \mapsto H\mathbb{Q} \otimes X$. In particular, since L_E is an equivalence for E -local spectra, we obtain that any $H\mathbb{Q}$ -local spectra X is also an $H\mathbb{Q}$ -module by $L_{H\mathbb{Q}}^{-1} : L_{H\mathbb{Q}}X \simeq X \otimes H\mathbb{Q} \rightarrow X$, so $\text{Mod}_E \supset \text{Sp}_E$ and we get:

Corollary 11. $\text{Sp}_{H\mathbb{Q}} \simeq \text{Mod}_{H\mathbb{Q}}$.

Now we can reformulate the idea of rational homotopy in the following way: For any ∞ -category \mathcal{C} and a set of morphisms W we can define the localization of \mathcal{C} WRT W , denoted by $L_W : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}[W^{-1}]$, which is the universal category such that all the morphisms in W are invertible.

Given a ring R , we have two notions of R -local homotopy theory:

1. The first is the localization of $\mathcal{S}_{\geq 1}$ WRT $\pi_* \otimes R$ equivalences
2. The second is the localization Sp_{HR} . Since any connected spectrum is a commutative monoid in \mathcal{S} , we have a forgetful functor $\Omega^\infty : \text{Sp} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$, and this functor admits a left adjoint, called Σ_+^∞ . So using this functor, we can also define the localization of spaces WRT HR -local spectra. That is, take the composition $\Sigma_{+, \mathbb{Q}}^\infty : \mathcal{S}_{\geq 1} \xrightarrow{\Sigma_+^\infty} \text{Sp} \xrightarrow{L_{H\mathbb{Q}}} \text{Sp}_{H\mathbb{Q}} \simeq \text{Mod}_{H\mathbb{Q}}$. Since any space admits a diagonal map $\Delta : X \rightarrow X \times X$, this functor factors through

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{S}_{\geq 1} & \xrightarrow{(-) \otimes H\mathbb{Q}} & \text{coCAlg}(\text{Mod}_{H\mathbb{Q}}) \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \\ & & \text{Mod}_{H\mathbb{Q}} \end{array}$$

So we can also define the localization WRT $(-) \otimes H\mathbb{Q}$ -equivalences.

The second localization is localization WRT $H_*(-, \mathbb{Q})$ equivalences, so Serre’s theorem is then that these two notions are equivalent, and we define:

Definition 12. $\mathcal{S}^\mathbb{Q}$ is the localization of $\mathcal{S}_{\geq 1}$ using any of the equivalent localizations above.

Serre’s theorem also tells us that we can also take $H^*(-, \mathbb{Q})$ instead of $H_*(-, \mathbb{Q})$, i.e. that the functor

$$[\Sigma_+^\infty(-), H\mathbb{Q}] : (\mathcal{S}^\mathbb{Q})^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{CAlg}(\text{Mod}_{H\mathbb{Q}}) := \text{CAlg}_\mathbb{Q}$$

is conservative. The question of rational homotopy theory is then whether or not this functor is also an embedding, and what is its essential image.

Remark 13. Even though the category $\text{CAlg}_\mathbb{Q}$ seems complicated and non-algebraic, its actually equivalent to the category of $\text{cdga}_\mathbb{Q}$, by

$$[\Sigma_+^\infty(-), H\mathbb{Q}] \rightarrow C^*(-; \mathbb{Q})$$

so we indeed recover the classical rational homotopy theory. Therefore, for now on we will identify between the chain complex \mathbb{Q} concentrated in degree 0 and the spectrum $H\mathbb{Q}$, and the functor $C^*(-; \mathbb{Q})$ with $[\Sigma_+^\infty(-), H\mathbb{Q}]$.

In general this functor is not fully faithful, due to finiteness problems. However, if we restrict to finite-type spaces, we will get a fully faithful functor, with a concrete characterisation of its essential image:

Theorem 14. *Let $\mathcal{S}_{\text{ft}}^{\mathbb{Q}}$ be the category of rational spaces with $\pi_n X$ finite dimensional \mathbb{Q} -vector spaces for $n \geq 2$. Then the functor*

$$C^*(-; \mathbb{Q}) : (\mathcal{S}_{\text{ft}}^{\mathbb{Q}})^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{CAlg}_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

is fully faithful, and its essential image is algebras A with the following properties:

1. $\pi_i A$ is finite dimensional \mathbb{Q} -vector spaces for $i < -2$
2. $\pi_{-1} A = 0$
3. $\pi_0 A \simeq \mathbb{Q}$
4. $\pi_{>0} A = 0$.

The functor $C^*(-; \mathbb{Q})$ admits a right adjoint $A \mapsto \text{Map}_{\text{CAlg}_{\mathbb{Q}}}(A, \mathbb{Q})$. The unit map is

$$\begin{aligned} \text{eval} : X &\rightarrow \text{Map}_{\text{CAlg}_{\mathbb{Q}}}(C^*(X; \mathbb{Q}), \mathbb{Q}) \\ x &\mapsto \text{eval}_x \end{aligned}$$

and the counit map

$$\begin{aligned} A &\rightarrow C^*(\text{Map}_{\text{CAlg}_{\mathbb{Q}}}(A, \mathbb{Q}); \mathbb{Q}) \simeq \text{Map}(H\mathbb{Q}^A, H\mathbb{Q}) \\ a &\mapsto \text{eval}_a \end{aligned}$$

In order to show that $C^*(-; \mathbb{Q})$ is fully faithful, we have to show that the unit map is an equivalence. Before proving the theorem, we will need some calculations.

Lemma 15. *$C^*(K(\mathbb{Q}, n); \mathbb{Q})$ is the free commutative algebra on the generator $\mathbb{Q}[-n]$, i.e. an exterior algebra $\Lambda^*(\mathbb{Q}[-n])$ for n odd and a polynomial algebra $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ with $|x| = n$ for n even. In general, for a finite dimensional \mathbb{Q} -vector space V , $C^*(K(V, n); \mathbb{Q}) \simeq \text{Free}(V[-n])$.*

Proof. First we show that $\pi_m(C^*(K(\mathbb{Q}, n))) = H^*(K(\mathbb{Q}, n)) \simeq \pi_m \text{Free}(\mathbb{Q}[-n])$. We prove this by induction on n : For the case $n = 1$, the map $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ induces a map $B\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow B\mathbb{Q}$ which is an isomorphism on rational homotopy, hence an isomorphism on rational cohomology, and

$$H^m(B\mathbb{Z}; \mathbb{Q}) \simeq H^m(S^1; \mathbb{Q}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Q} & m = 0, 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} = \pi_m(\mathbb{Q} \oplus \mathbb{Q}[-1]) = \pi_m(\Lambda^* \mathbb{Q}[-1])$$

since for $m \geq 2$ $\Lambda^m \mathbb{Q}[-1]$ is trivial: Its the quotient of $\mathbb{Q} \simeq \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \cdots \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}$ by the action of Σ_m , which acts by multiplication by the sign of the permutation, i.e. $x = -x$.

Now assume the claim holds for $n - 1$. We have the path-loop fibration

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \Omega K(\mathbb{Q}, n) & \longrightarrow & PK(\mathbb{Q}, n) & \longrightarrow & K(\mathbb{Q}, n) \\ \simeq \downarrow & & \simeq \downarrow & & \\ K(\mathbb{Q}, n-1) & & \star & & \end{array}$$

We'll prove the case of n even, so by hypothesis, $H^q(K(\mathbb{Q}, n-1))$ is \mathbb{Q} for $q = 0, n-1$ and zero otherwise, and we can use the Serre spectral sequence for this fibration to compute $H^*K(\mathbb{Q}, n)$: The E_2 page is

$$E_2^{p,q} = H^p(K(\mathbb{Q}, n); H^q(K(\mathbb{Q}, n-1))) \simeq H^p(K(\mathbb{Q}, n); \mathbb{Q}) \otimes H^p(K(\mathbb{Q}, n-1); \mathbb{Q}) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}(\star)$$

and $E_2^{p,q} = 0$ for $q \neq 0, n-1$, so the only non-zero differential is $d_n : E_n^{p, n-1} \rightarrow E_n^{p+n, 0}$. Since $E_\infty^{p,q} = 0$, d_n is an isomorphism. Take a generator $x \in E_n^{0, n-1} \simeq H^{n-1}(K(\mathbb{Q}, n-1))$, and let $y = d_n(x) \in E_n^{n, 0} \simeq H^n(K(\mathbb{Q}, n))$. Then y generates $H^n(K(\mathbb{Q}, n))$, and xy generates $E_n^{2n, 0} \simeq H^{2n}(K(\mathbb{Q}, n)) \otimes H^{n-1}(K(\mathbb{Q}, n-1))$. Then again, $d_n(xy)$ generate $E_n^{2n, 0} \simeq H^{2n}(K(\mathbb{Q}, n))$, and since d_n is a derivation $d_n(xy) = d_n(x)y + xd_n(y) = y^2$. We continue this way to show that y^k generates $H^{kn}(K(\mathbb{Q}, n))$, i.e. $H^{kn}(K(\mathbb{Q}, n)) \simeq \mathbb{Q}[y]$.

Thus, $\pi_* C^*(K(\mathbb{Q}, n); \mathbb{Q}) \simeq \pi_* \text{Free}(\mathbb{Q}[-n])$. Choose an element $\alpha \in \pi_* C^*(K(\mathbb{Q}, n); \mathbb{Q})$ that maps to a generator of $\pi_* \text{Free}(\mathbb{Q}[-n])$. Then α factors as a map $\mathbb{Q}[-n] \rightarrow C^*(K(\mathbb{Q}, n); \mathbb{Q})$, and thus extends to a map $\text{Free}(\mathbb{Q}[-n]) \rightarrow C^*(K(\mathbb{Q}, n); \mathbb{Q})$. This map is an isomorphism on homotopy, and thus an isomorphism. \square

As a consequence of this computation, we can actually compute now the rational homotopy groups of spheres!

Claim 16. For n odd, $\pi_k(S_{\mathbb{Q}}^n) \simeq \begin{cases} \mathbb{Q} & k = n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$, and for n even, $\pi_k(S_{\mathbb{Q}}^n) \simeq \begin{cases} \mathbb{Q} & k = n, 2n-1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$. In particular, $\pi_k(S^n)$ is finite for $k \neq n$ if n is odd and for $k \neq n, 2n-1$ if n is even.

Proof. For any n , $H^n(-, \mathbb{Q})$ is represented by $K(\mathbb{Q}, n)$. In particular $\mathbb{Q} \simeq H^n(S^n; \mathbb{Q}) \simeq [S^n, K(\mathbb{Q}, n)] = \pi_n(K(\mathbb{Q}, n))$. Choose some $f : S^n \rightarrow K(\mathbb{Q}, n)$ representing a non-zero element (hence, a generator). By Hurewicz, f is an isomorphism on $H^n(K(\mathbb{Q}, n); \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^n(S^n; \mathbb{Q})$. So, for n odd we are done: f is an isomorphism on cohomology, hence on homotopy, so $\pi_* S_{\mathbb{Q}}^n \simeq \pi_* K(\mathbb{Q}, n)$.

For n even, we can write $H^*(K(\mathbb{Q}, n); \mathbb{Q}) \simeq \mathbb{Q}[x]$ for $x \in H^n(K(\mathbb{Q}, n); \mathbb{Q}) \simeq \mathbb{Q}$ a generator. Then $x^2 \in H^{2n}(K(\mathbb{Q}, n); \mathbb{Q}) \simeq [K(\mathbb{Q}, n), K(\mathbb{Q}, 2n)]$. Chose a representative $g : K(\mathbb{Q}, n) \rightarrow K(\mathbb{Q}, 2n)$ for x^2 , and let $F \rightarrow K(\mathbb{Q}, n)$ be its

fiber. Since $\pi_n(K(\mathbb{Q}, 2n)) = 0$, $f : S^n \rightarrow K(\mathbb{Q}, n)$ factors through the fiber

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} F & \longrightarrow & K(\mathbb{Q}, n) & \xrightarrow{g} & K(\mathbb{Q}, 2n) \\ & & \uparrow f & & \\ & \swarrow h & S^n & & \end{array}$$

Since $\pi_n(f)$ is an isomorphism so is $\pi_n(h)$, and thus by Hurewicz on $H^n(h)$. Its possible to show that the induced map on cohomology is a cofiber sequence, i.e.

$$H^*(F; \mathbb{Q}) \simeq \mathbb{Q}[x] / (x^2)$$

so h is an isomorphism on cohomology, hence on $\pi_* \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. In particular, $S_{\mathbb{Q}}^n \simeq F$,

and using the long exact sequence in homotopy we obtain $\pi_k(S_{\mathbb{Q}}^n) \simeq \begin{cases} \mathbb{Q} & k = n, 2n - 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$. \square

Now we go back to prove 14:

Claim 17. The unit map $\text{eval} : X \rightarrow \text{Map}_{\text{CAlg}_{\mathbb{Q}}}(C^*(X; \mathbb{Q}), \mathbb{Q})$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. We use the following sequence of arguments:

First, we reduce to the case where X is n -truncated for some n . This is done by using $X_{\leq n}$, the n^{th} Postnikov space, i.e. a space with $X \rightarrow X_{\leq n}$ is an isomorphism on $\pi_{\leq n}$ and $\pi_{> n}(X_{\leq n}) = 0$. Since $X \simeq \varprojlim X_n$, and X is simply connected, $C^*(X; \mathbb{Q}) \simeq \varinjlim C^*(X_{\leq n}; \mathbb{Q})$. Thus it suffices to show the claim for $X_{\leq n}$, so we can use an inductive argument on n , where the base case $n = 1$ is obvious since X is simply connected.

Next, we use the fact that at least for X simply connected of finite type, the fiber sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K(\pi_n X, n) & & \\ \downarrow & & \\ X_{\leq n} & \longrightarrow & X_{\leq n-1} \end{array}$$

is classified by a pullback square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_{\leq n} & \longrightarrow & * \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X_{\leq n-1} & \longrightarrow & BK(\pi_n X, n) \simeq K(\pi_n X, n+1) \end{array}$$

(in general it always classified by $B \text{Aut}(K(\pi_n X, n)) \simeq \text{Aut}(\pi_n X) \times K(\pi_n X, n+1)$). Then we use the fact that at least for finite type simply connected spaces, the

functor $C^*(-; \mathbb{Q})$ sends cofiber sequences to fiber sequences, i.e. we have a pushout diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C^*(K(\pi_n X, n+1); \mathbb{Q}) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Q} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ C^*(X_{\leq n-1}; \mathbb{Q}) & \longrightarrow & C^*(X_{\leq n}; \mathbb{Q}) \end{array}$$

Now by the inductive step $X_{\leq n-1} \simeq \text{Map}_{\text{Calg}_{\mathbb{Q}}}(C^*(X_{\leq n-1}; \mathbb{Q}), \mathbb{Q})$, and by lemma ??

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Map}_{\text{Calg}_{\mathbb{Q}}}(C^*(X_{\leq n-1}, \mathbb{Q}), \mathbb{Q}) &\simeq \text{Map}_{\text{Calg}_{\mathbb{Q}}}(\text{Free}(\mathbb{Q}[-n-1]), \mathbb{Q}) \\ &\simeq \text{Map}_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{Q}}}(\Sigma^{-n-1}H\mathbb{Q}, H\mathbb{Q}) \\ &\simeq \text{Map}_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{Q}}}(H\mathbb{Q}, \Sigma^{n+1}H\mathbb{Q}) \\ \{\star\} &\simeq \text{Map}_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{Q}}}(\mathbb{S}, \Sigma^{n+1}H\mathbb{Q}) \\ &\simeq \text{Map}_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{Q}}}(\Sigma_+^{\infty}(*), \Sigma^{n+1}H\mathbb{Q}) \\ &\simeq \text{Map}_{\mathcal{S}}(*, \Omega^{\infty}\Sigma^{n+1}H\mathbb{Q}) \\ &\simeq \Omega^{\infty}\Sigma^{n+1}H\mathbb{Q} \\ &\simeq K(\pi_n X, n+1) \end{aligned}$$

where \star is since any map into an $H\mathbb{Q}$ -local spectra factors through the localization, and $L_{H\mathbb{Q}}\mathbb{S} \simeq H\mathbb{Q}$. Thus this holds also for $X_{\leq n}$. \square

Corollary 18. *For any $X, Y \in \mathcal{S}_{\text{ft}}^{\mathbb{Q}}$,*

$$\text{Map}_{\mathcal{S}^{\mathbb{Q}}}(Y, X) \simeq \text{Map}_{\text{Calg}_{\mathbb{Q}}}(C^*(X; H\mathbb{Q}), C^*(Y; \mathbb{Q}))$$

i.e. $C^(-; \mathbb{Q})$ is fully-faithful.*

We now want to describe the essential image, and show that its precisely algebras A that satisfies conditions 1 – 4.

First, let A be in the image. Conditions 2-4 are obvious. In order to show that $C^n(X; \mathbb{Q})$ are finite dimensional we use again an inductive argument and the explicit characterisation of the cofibers $X_{\leq n} \rightarrow X_{\leq n-1} \rightarrow K(\pi_n X, n+1)$. Its remains to prove that a cdga satisfying conditions 1-4 is equivalent to the cohomology of a rational space. Let $A \in \text{Calg}_{\mathbb{Q}}$. If A where indeed of the form $C^*(X; \mathbb{Q})$, then we could recover X as $\text{Map}_{\text{Calg}_{\mathbb{Q}}}(A, \mathbb{Q})$, and in particular $X \in \mathcal{S}_{\text{ft}}^{\mathbb{Q}}$, but since we don't know yet that A is in the essential image of $C^*(-; \mathbb{Q})$, even if X is indeed a finite type rational space we still don't know its corresponding to A . The idea is then to look at $X = \text{Map}(A, \mathbb{Q})$ as the rational point of the functor

$$\mathcal{X}_A = \text{Map}_{\text{Calg}_{\mathbb{Q}}}(A, -) : \text{Calg}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$$

For a general field the restricted Yoneda

$$\mathcal{X}_{(-)} : \left(\text{CAlg}_k^{\leq 0} \right)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Fun} \left(\text{CAlg}_k^{\geq 0}, \mathcal{S} \right)$$

is not an embedding. However, for a field of characteristic zero this is indeed an embedding. The important properties of such functors are:

1. Since we are mapping from a coconnective algebra to connective algebras, all the information is in its values on discrete algebras (and its value on any connective algebra is its left Kan extension). i.e. the composition

$$\mathcal{X}_{(-)} : \left(\text{CAlg}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\leq 0} \right)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Fun} \left(\text{CAlg}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\geq 0}, \mathcal{S} \right) \rightarrow \text{Fun} \left(\text{CAlg}_{\mathbb{Q}}^0, \mathcal{S} \right)$$

is also an embedding.

2. If A is $-n$ truncated, then the values of \mathcal{X}_A are n -connected.
3. For all i , the functor $R \mapsto \pi_i \mathcal{X}_A(R)$ restricted to $\text{CAlg}_{\mathbb{Q}}^0$ is given by $R \mapsto R \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} V$ for a finite-dimensional \mathbb{Q} vector space V .

In particular, from property 2 and 3 we deduce that indeed the rational points are rational spaces of finite type $\mathcal{X}_A(\mathbb{Q}) \in \mathcal{S}_{\text{ft}}^{\mathbb{Q}}$.

Now in order to prove that A is in the essential image of $C^*(-; \mathbb{Q})$, we use define $A' := C^*(\mathcal{X}_A(\mathbb{Q}); \mathbb{Q})$. Then we want to show that $A' \simeq A$. We have the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & \mathcal{X}_A & & \mathcal{X}_{A'} & \\
 & \nearrow & & \nearrow & \\
 A & & \mathcal{X}_A(\mathbb{Q}) & \xrightarrow{u} & \mathcal{X}_{A'}(\mathbb{Q}) = \text{Map}(C^*(\mathcal{X}_A(\mathbb{Q}), \mathbb{Q})) \\
 & \searrow & & \searrow & \\
 & & A' = C^*(\mathcal{X}_A(\mathbb{Q})) & &
 \end{array}$$

and we wish to show that the composition $A \rightarrow A'$ is an equivalence. Note that u is the unit map of the adjunction $\left(\mathcal{S}_{\text{ft}}^{\mathbb{Q}} \right)^{\text{op}} \rightleftharpoons \text{CAlg}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\leq 1}$, hence an equivalence. Since $\pi_i \mathcal{X}_{(-)}(R)$ are \mathbb{Q} are finite \mathbb{Q} -vector spaces for any connective R , this implies that $\mathcal{X}_A \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{A'}$ is also an equivalence $\mathcal{X}_A \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{A'}$ and hence $A \xrightarrow{\sim} A'$.

This observation now gives us a hint about how to extend the embedding to all rational spaces, and not just finite type spaces: We define the subcategory $\text{Fun} \left(\text{CAlg}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\geq 0}, \mathcal{S} \right) \supset \text{RType}$ spanned by those functors satisfying properties 1-3, except that we don't demand V to be finite-dimensional in 3. Then its possible to show that taking rational points induces an equivalence:

$$(-)(\mathbb{Q}) : \text{Fun} \left(\text{CAlg}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\geq 0}, \mathcal{S} \right) \supset \text{RType} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^{\mathbb{Q}}$$